

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/807,823	AMICANGIOLI ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	ABDULLAH RIYAMI	2616

**All Participants:**

(1) ABDULLAH RIYAMI.

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Kent J. Sieffert.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 20 February 2008

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant     Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:**  Yes     No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

22, 44 and 45

Prior art documents discussed:

US 2004/0078625 (Rampuria et al.)

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner and attorney discussed patentability of claims 22, 44 and 45. Attorney decided to cancel claims 22-32, 44, and 45 to put the application in condition for allowance and file a continuation to further clarify subject matter.*

**Part III.**

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)